

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/762,873 | 01/21/2004 | Nicholas M. Valiante | PP20203.003 | 5927 |
| 27476 | 7590 10/14/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| Chiron Corporation | | | CHONG, YONG SOO | |
| Intellectual Pro | | | | |
| P.O. Box 8097 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Emeryville, CA 94662-8097 | | | 1617 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commence | 10/762,873 | VALIANTE, NICHOLAS M. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Yong S. Chong | 1617 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Au This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11,18 and 20-31 is/ 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-17 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the oregonal contents. | r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | Examiner. e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/8/2004. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/762,873

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

This Office Action is in response to applicant's response filed on 8/10/2005.

Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction requirement in the reply is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no undue burden on the Examiner to search between the inventions, divided based on the type of antigens. This is not found persuasive because a search for a bacterial antigen will not lead to the search for parasitic, viral, or fungal pathogens, even though the corresponding inventions may be in the same class/subclass. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Claims 1-31 are pending. Claims 1-11, 18, 20-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Claims 12-17, 19 are examined herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham vs John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,873

Art Unit: 1617

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 12-17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Baker et al. (US Patent 5,441,955).

The instant claims are directed to a composition comprising a tryptanthrin compound (No. 1001) and an antigen.

Baker et al. teach the tryptanthrin compound of No. 1001 in the applicant's specification (col. 20, lines 22-33) as part of an antimicrobial composition (abstract). Furthermore, this tryptanthrin compound can be administered with an adjuvant (col. 12, lines 37-42). What's more, Baker et al. teach that tryptanthrin can be administered in combination with one or more other agents used in the treatment of pathogenic mycobacterial infections. Representative agents used for the treatment of mycobacterial tuberculosis include, for example, isoniazid, rifampin, pyrazinamide, ethambutol, rifabutin, streptomycin, and ciproflaxin (col. 13, lines 35-43). Examiner would like to point out that mycobacterial tuberculosis is a common cause of bacterial meningitis (meningococcus infection). Moreover, Bacillus of Calmette and Guérin (BCG) is a vaccine against tuberculosis caused by mycobacterial tuberculosis.

Baker et al., however fails to disclose a specific combination of the tryptanthrin compound (No. 1001) and an adjuvant.

It would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed invention was made, to combine the tryptanthrin compound (No. 1001) with an adjuvant.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,873 Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make this combination because of the increased antigenic response of the tryptanthrin compound resulting from the adjuvant, which is defined as the agents that are used for the treatment of mycobacterial tuberculosis.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong S. Chong whose telephone number is (571)-272-8513. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, SREENI PADMANABHAN can be reached on (571)-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHENGJUN WANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

YSC